

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/841,893	04/25/2001	Charles E. Wheatley III	QCPA453B1C1	6708	
23696 OUALCOMM	7590 02/06/200 INCORPORATED	7	EXAMINER		
5775 MOREHO	OUSE DR.		RYMAN, DANIEL J		
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121 ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER			
			2616		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	02/06/2007	ELECT	RONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 02/06/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com kascanla@qualcomm.com t_ssadik@qualcomm.com

	•			5/
<u> </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/841,893	WHEATLEY ET AL.	
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Daniel J. Ryman	2616	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SH WHIO - Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Do ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the solution of the sol	N. mely filed in the mailing date of this communication ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 D</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr		is
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>11-16,18-20,32,34,36-39,51,53 and 5</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) <u>11-16 and 18-20</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>32,34,36-38,51,53 and 55-57</u> is/are re Claim(s) <u>36-39,51 and 55-57</u> is/are objected to Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration. ejected.	ation.	
Applicat	ion Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)[a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage	
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I	Date	
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	ratent Application	

Application/Control Number: 09/841,893 Page 2

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. The indicated allowability of claims 32, 34, 36-39, 51, 53, and 55-57 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Chung et al. (USPN 5,642,377) and in view of a reinterpretation of the claims. While Examiner previously assumed that the transmitter of the Base Station was disabled throughout the entire synchronization process, the claims actually only require that the transmitter be disabled for "a transmission". Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) and the reinterpreted claims follow.
- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 32, 34, 36-39, 51, 53, and 55-57 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Objections

- 3. Claim 36 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 2, "with at least one other" should be "with the timing of at least one other" since the timing of the base station is synchronized with *the timing* of the at least one other base station. Appropriate correction is required.
- 4. Claim 37 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 2, "with the at least one other" should be "with the timing of the at least one other" since the timing of the base station is synchronized with *the timing* of the at least one other base station. Appropriate correction is required.
- 5. Claim 38 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 2, "with the at least one other" should be "with the timing of the at least one other" since the timing of the base

Page 3

Art Unit: 2616

station is synchronized with *the timing* of the at least one other base station. Appropriate correction is required.

- 6. Claim 39 is objected to because it incorporates the method as claimed in claim 1, where claim 1 is a cancelled claim. Appropriate correction is required.
- 7. Claim 51 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 6, "of the mobile" should be "of a mobile" since "the mobile station" lacks antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.
- 8. Claim 55 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 6, "with at least one" should be "with the timing of at least one" since the timing of the base station is synchronized with *the timing* of the at least one base station. Appropriate correction is required.
- 9. Claim 56 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 2, "with at least one" should be "with the timing of the at least one" since the timing of the base station is synchronized with *the timing* of the at least one other base station. Appropriate correction is required.
- 10. Claim 57 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 2, "with at least one" should be "with the timing of the at least one" since the timing of the base station is synchronized with *the timing* of the at least one other base station. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

11. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2616

12. Claims 38 and 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 4

13. Claims 38 and 57 require that the synchronization process be repeated "for all mobile stations within the coverage area of the base station." However, claims 36 and 55, which claims 38 and 57 depend upon, respectively, each require that the synchronization process be conducted using a mobile station communicating with the base station and at least one other base station. Examiner further assumes that not all mobile stations within the coverage area of the base station will be in communication with an additional base station, i.e. some mobile stations in the coverage area of the base station will be capable of communicating only with the base station. This assumption is based on the fact that in order to be able to communicate with two base stations, a mobile station needs to be located at a position in which it can "hear" both base stations. Therefore, it is unclear how the synchronization process can be repeated for all mobile stations within the coverage area of the base station when not all of these mobile stations will be capable of communicating with multiple base stations, as required by the synchronization process. Since Examiner is unable to determine the metes and bounds of this claim, Examiner will not examine these claims for the purposes of 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2616

Page 5

15. Claims 32 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dupuy et al. (USPN 6,072,847), of record, in view of Ariyoshi et al. (USPN 5,930,244), of record.

16. Regarding claims 32 and 51, Dupuy discloses a method and apparatus for synchronizing a base station with a wireless communication system upon the base stations power up, comprising: disabling a transmission from the base station (col. 4, lines 31-39, where the controller C commands the transmitter E to stop transmitting during certain time slots); obtaining initial timing at the base station in accordance with a timing signal provided from a base station controller (col. 5, lines 8-12, where the mobile switching center provides an initial timing to each base station through a base station controller, col. 5, lines 1-7 and Fig. 5, i.e. the MSC connects to the BTS through the BSC so that the timing signal is "provided" by to the BTS by the BSC); receiving at the base station signals transmitted from a mobile station (col. 6, lines 4-8, where the mobile station transmits to the base station information to correct the base station's timing); and adjusting timing of the base station in accordance with a time offset between an estimated reception time of the base station determines a "propagation time" which is a difference between an estimated reception time and an actual reception time" which is a difference between an estimated reception time and an actual reception time).

Dupuy does not expressly disclose adjusting timing of the base station in accordance with a time offset between an estimated PN offset of the mobile station and an actual PN offset of the mobile station. Rather, Dupuy discloses adjusting timing of the base station in accordance with a time adjustment signal sent by a mobile station in a manner that consumes a minimal amount of bandwidth (col. 6, lines 4-14). In addition, while Dupuy's primary embodiment encompasses a TDMA system (col. 1, lines 18-22), Dupuy discloses that this synchronization system can be used

Art Unit: 2616

in any cellular mobile radio systems (col. 1, lines 13-17, where Dupuy broadly states that the invention is applicable to cellular mobile radio systems, and claim 1, which is not limited to a TDMA system). Ariyoshi teaches, in a CDMA system, having one station determine a timing adjustment in accordance with a time offset between an estimated PN offset and an actual PN offset (col. 2, lines 48-54, where the base station determines the difference between a reception reference phase, i.e. an estimated PN offset, and a reception signal phase, i.e. an actual PN offset, which indicates the difference in timing between the base station and the mobile station). While in Ariyoshi's system the phase difference is transmitted by the base station to the mobile stations to permit the mobile stations to correct their phase (col. 2, lines 48-54), such a transmission is not necessary if Ariyoshi's system were combined with Dupuy since in this combined system the base station, rather than the mobile station, needs the correction. Further, Ariyoshi teaches that CDMA "considerably improv[es] the use efficiency of communication frequencies" (col. 1, lines 30-32). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Dupuy's system with Ariyoshi's CDMA timing system in order to permit a CDMA base station to have its timing corrected using the timing of a reference base station, as in

Page 6

17. Claims 34, 36, 37, and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dupuy et al. (USPN 6,072,847), of record, in view of Chung et al. (USPN 5,642,377) in further view of Gudmundson et al. (USPN 5,295,152).

Dupuy, in a manner that involves little signaling, as outlined in Ariyoshi.

18. Regarding claims 34 and 53, Dupuy discloses a method and apparatus for synchronizing a base station with a wireless communication system upon the base station's power up, comprising: disabling a transmission from the base station (col. 4, lines 31-39, where the controller C

commands the transmitter E to stop transmitting during certain time slots); obtaining initial timing at the base station in accordance with a timing signal provided from a base station controller (col. 5, lines 8-12, where the mobile switching center provides an initial timing to each base station through a base station controller, col. 5, lines 1-7 and Fig. 5, i.e. the MSC connects to the BTS through the BSC so that the timing signal is "provided" by to the BTS by the BSC); receiving at the base station signals transmitted from a mobile station (col. 6, lines 4-8, where the mobile station transmits to the base station information to correct the base station's timing); adjusting timing of the base station in accordance with the received signals (col. 2, lines 53-58, where the base station adjusts timing of the base station in accordance with this signal).

Dupuy does not expressly disclose providing the mobile station with a pilot PN code offset of the base station. However, Dupuy does disclose that the mobile station "listen[s] to said reference base transceiver station and said subordinate base transceiver station" (col. 5, lines 20-22). In addition, while Dupuy's primary embodiment encompasses a TDMA system (col. 1, lines 18-22), Dupuy discloses that this synchronization system can be used in any cellular mobile radio system (col. 1, lines 13-17, where Dupuy broadly states that the invention is applicable to cellular mobile radio systems, and claim 1, which is not limited to a TDMA system). Examiner takes official notice that CDMA communication systems are well known in the art as a way to improve the use efficiency of communication frequencies. Chung teaches, in a wireless communication system, that in order for a mobile to communicate with a base station the mobile must know the PN offset of the base station (col. 7, lines 10-19). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use Dupuy's synchronization system in a CDMA system since this improves the use efficiency of communication frequencies, where in such

a system the mobile station would be provided with a pilot PN code offset of the base station to enable the mobile to communicate with the base station.

Dupuy in view of Chung does not expressly disclose transmitting signals at successively increasing power levels from the base station in accordance with the adjusted timing until the mobile station detects the transmitted signals. However, Dupuy in view of Chung does disclose transmitting signals from the base station in accordance with the adjusted timing (Dupuy: col. 6, lines 34-39, where the subordinate base station adjusts its timing and where it is implicit that the base station will then use this timing when transmitting subsequent signals). Gudmundson teaches, in a mobile communication system, transmitting signals at successively increasing power levels from one unit until the other unit detects the transmitted signals in order to prevent a communication link from using an unnecessarily high power and interfering with other communications (col. 2, lines 41-50). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to transmit signals at successively increasing power levels from the base station until the mobile station detects the transmitted signals to minimize the amount of interference in the system.

- 19. Regarding claim 36, Dupuy in view of Chung in further view of Gudmundson discloses synchronizing timing of the base station with at least one other base station, communicating with the mobile station (Dupuy: col. 5, lines 33-36, where the subordinate base station is synchronized to a reference base station).
- 20. Regarding claim 37, Dupuy in view of Chung in further view of Gudmundson discloses that said synchronizing timing of the base station with the at least one other base station communicating with the mobile station comprises: initiating a communication between the base

station and the mobile station (Dupuy: col. 5, lines 21-26, where the mobile station initiates communication with both the reference and the subordinate base stations); measuring a first propagation delay interval of transmissions from the base station to the mobile station in communication with the base station and back from the mobile station to the base station (Dupuy: col. 5, lines 27-32, where the mobile station determines the propagation time difference between the mobile station and each of the base stations); measuring a second propagation delay interval of transmissions from the at least one other base station communicating with the mobile station and back from the mobile station to the at least one other base station communicating with the mobile station (Dupuy: col. 5, lines 27-32, where the mobile station determines the propagation time difference between the mobile station and each of the base stations); measuring at the mobile station a time difference between the time of receipt of a transmission from the at least one other base station communicating with the mobile station and the time of receipt of a transmission from the base station communicating with the mobile station (Dupuy: col. 5, lines 24-26, where the mobile station determines a time shift between the two base stations as seen from the mobile station); and computing a timing correction value based upon, said measured first propagation delay interval, said measured second propagation delay interval, and said measured time difference (Dupuy: col. 5, line 66-col. 6, line 7, where the "time shift to be corrected" is calculated).

Dupuy in view of Chung in further view of Gudmundson does not expressly disclose measuring a round trip delay interval rather than a propagation delay interval between the mobile and the base stations. However, Examiner takes official notice that propagation times are typically determined based on round trip delay intervals since an easy way to determine the time it takes for a message to travel from point A to point B, i.e. the propagation time, is to take the time it takes a

Art Unit: 2616

Page 10

message to travel from point A to point B and back, i.e. the round trip time, and then halve it.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to measure a round trip delay interval since a propagation delay interval can be easily determined from a round trip delay interval, which is an easily measurable parameter.

- 21. Claims 55 and 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dupuy et al. (USPN 6,072,847), of record.
- 22. Regarding claim 55, Dupuy discloses an apparatus for synchronizing a base station with a wireless communication system upon the base station's power up, comprising: a transmitter (col. 4, lines 31-39, where the base transceiver station has a transmitter E); a processor communicatively coupled to the transmitter (col. 4, lines 31-39, where the transmitter E is controlled by controller C), wherein the processor executes a set of instructions to adjust timing of the base station in accordance with received signals (col. 6, lines 4-7, where the base station adjusts its timing using the timing adjustment transmitted by the mobile station, and where it is implicit that the controller of the transmitter adjusts the transmitter's timing), and to synchronize timing of the base station with at least one base station communicating with a mobile station (col. 5, lines 33-36, where the timing of the subordinate base station is synchronized with the timing of the reference base station, and where each of these base stations are connected to the mobile station, col. 21-32); a receiver, communicatively coupled to the processor, configured to receive the received signals transmitted from the mobile station (col. 6, lines 4-7, where it is implicit that the base station receives the signals transmitted by the mobile station using a receiver); and the processor disabling the transmitter and obtaining initial timing (where the processor disables the transmitter, col. 4, lines 35-39, and

where the processor obtains initial timing sent from an MSC through a BSC, Fig. 5 and col. 5, lines 1-12).

Dupuy does not expressly disclose having a storage medium coupled to the processor containing a set of instructions executable by the processor. However, Examiner takes official notice that it is well known in the art to provide a storage medium coupled to a processor for storing a set of instructions executable by the processor in order to enable the processor to perform a variety tasks. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have a storage medium coupled to the processor containing a set of instructions executable by the processor in order to enable the processor to perform a variety of tasks.

23. Regarding claim 56, Dupuy discloses that the processor synchronizes timing of the base station with at least one base station communicating with the mobile station by executing a set of instructions to: initiate a communication between the base station and the mobile station (col. 5, lines 21-26, where the mobile station initiates communication with both the reference and the subordinate base stations); measure a first propagation delay interval of transmissions from the base station to the mobile station in communication with the base station and back from the mobile station to the base station (col. 5, lines 27-32, where the mobile station determines the propagation time difference between the mobile station and each of the base stations); measure a second propagation delay interval of transmissions from the at least one base station communicating with the mobile station and back from the mobile station to the at least one base station communicating with said mobile (col. 5, lines 27-32, where the mobile station determines the propagation time difference between the mobile station and each of the base stations); and compute a timing correction value in accordance with the first propagation delay

Art Unit: 2616

interval, the second propagation delay interval, and a time difference provided by the mobile station (col. 5, line 66-col. 6, line 7, where the "time shift to be corrected" is calculated and where Dupuy discloses performing such a calculation in the base station, col. 6, lines 34-42); and wherein the mobile station is configured to: measure the time difference between the time of receipt of a transmission from the at least one base station communicating with the mobile station and the time of receipt of a transmission from the base station (col. 5, lines 24-26, where the mobile station determines a time shift between the two base stations as seen from the mobile station).

Page 12

Dupuy does not expressly disclose measuring a round trip delay interval rather than a propagation delay interval between the mobile and the base stations. However, Examiner takes official notice that propagation times are typically determined based on round trip delay intervals since an easy way to determine the time it takes for a message to travel from point A to point B, i.e. propagation time, is to take the time it takes a message to travel from point A to point B and back, i.e. round trip time, and the halve it. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to measure a round trip delay interval since a propagation delay interval can be easily determined from a round trip delay interval, which is an easily measurable parameter.

Allowable Subject Matter

24. Claims 11-16 and 18-20 are allowed. The prior art does not disclose or fairly suggest having a base station calculate a timing correction value based upon an estimate of a delay between a mobile unit and the base station, the time of reception by the base station of a signal sent from the mobile unit as determined by the base station, and a measured round trip delay

Art Unit: 2616

interval between the mobile unit and another base station, where this round trip delay interval is

Page 13

measured by the another base station.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Daniel J. Ryman whose telephone number is (571)272-3152. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on (571)272-3155. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Daniel J. Ryman Examiner

Art Unit 2616

DIR

huy D. Vu Supervisory patent examine

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600